

```

===== Recent
PROGRAM RECENT Recent
===== Recent
VERSION 79-1 (OCTOBER 1979) CDC-7600 Recent
VERSION 80-1 (MAY 1980) IBM, CDC AND CRAY VERSION Recent
VERSION 80-2 (DECEMBER 1980) IMPROVED TREATMENT OF UNRESOLVED Recent
REGION TO COMPUTE ALL REACTIONS AT Recent
THE SAME TIME. Recent
VERSION 81-1 (MARCH 1981) IMPROVED BASED ON USER COMMENTS. Recent
VERSION 81-2 (AUGUST 1981) ADDED MONITOR MODE. ADDED SPEED OPTION Recent
TO BYPASS BACKWARDS THINNING IF FILE 3 Recent
ALLOWABLE ERROR = 0.0 (NOTE THIS OPTION Recent
WILL RESULT IN ALL TABULATED POINTS Recent
FROM THE EVALUATION BEING KEPT IN THE Recent
OUTPUT FROM THIS PROGRAM). Recent
VERSION 82-1 (JANUARY 1982) IMPROVED COMPUTER COMPATIBILITY. Recent
VERSION 83-1 (JANUARY 1983) *MAJOR RE-DESIGN. Recent
*PAGE SIZES INCREASED. Recent
*ELIMINATED COMPUTER DEPENDENT CODING. Recent
*NEW, MORE COMPATIBLE I/O UNIT NUMBERS. Recent
*ADDED OPTION TO KEEP ALL RECONSTRUCTED Recent
AND BACKGROUND ENERGY POINTS. Recent
*ADDED STANDARD ALLOWABLE ERROR OPTIONS Recent
(CURRENTLY 0.1 PER-CENT RECONSTRUCTION Recent
AND 0.0 PER-CENT THINNING). Recent
VERSION 83-2 (OCTOBER 1983) IMPROVED BASED ON USER COMMENTS. Recent
VERSION 84-1 (JANUARY 1984) IMPROVED INTERVAL HALFGING CONVERGENCE. Recent
VERSION 85-1 (APRIL 1985) *A BRAND NEW PROGRAM WHICH COMPLETELY Recent
SUPERCEDES ALL PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF Recent
THIS PROGRAM. Recent
*UPDATED FOR ENDF/B-VI FORMATS. Recent
*ADDED GENERAL REICH-MOORE FORMALISM Recent
(WITH TWO FISSION CHANNELS). Recent
*DECREASED RUNNING TIME. Recent
*SPECIAL I/O ROUTINES TO GUARANTEE Recent
ACCURACY OF ENERGY. Recent
*DOUBLE PRECISION TREATMENT OF ENERGY Recent
(REQUIRED FOR NARROW RESONANCES). Recent
VERSION 85-2 (AUGUST 1985) *FORTRAN-77/H VERSION Recent
VERSION 86-1 (JANUARY 1986) *ENERGY DEPENDENT SCATTERING RADIUS Recent
VERSION 86-2 (JUNE 1986) *IF FIRST CHANCE FISSION (MT=19) Recent
BACKGROUND IS PRESENT ADD RESONANCE Recent
CONTRIBUTION OF FISSION TO IT. Recent
VERSION 86-3 (OCTOBER 1986) *MULTI-LEVEL OR REICH-MOORE..CORRECT Recent
POTENTIAL SCATTERING CROSS SECTION FOR Recent
MISSING AND/OR FICTICIOUS (L,J) Recent
SEQUENCES. Recent
VERSION 87-1 (JANUARY 1987) *IMPROVED COMBINING FILE 2+3 Recent
VERSION 87-2 (MARCH 1987) *CORRECTED ADLER-ADLER CALCULATIONS. Recent
VERSION 88-1 (JULY 1988) *UPDATED REICH-MOORE ENDF/B-VI FORMAT Recent
TO BE THE SAME AS REICH-MOORE FORMAT Recent
IN EARLIER VERSIONS OF ENDF/B FORMAT. Recent
*CHECK FOR PRELIMINARY ENDF/B-VI Recent
REICH-MOORE FORMAT (NOW ABANDONED) Recent
AND TERMINATE EXECUTION IF DATA IS Recent
IN THIS FORMAT. Recent
*CALCULATE CHANNEL RADIUS OR SET IT Recent
EQUAL TO THE SCATTERING RADIUS. Recent
*IMPLEMENTED HYBRID R-FUNCTION WITH THE Recent
FOLLOWING RESTRICTIONS Recent
- ONLY INELASTIC COMPETITION (NO Recent
CHARGED PARTICLES) Recent
- NO TABULATED FILE 2 BACKGROUND Recent
- NO TABULATED OPTICAL MODEL PHASE Recent
SHIFT Recent
*PROGRAM EXIT IF GENERAL R-MATRIX IN Recent
THE EVALUATION (THIS FORMALISM WILL Recent
BE IMPLEMENTED ONLY AFTER THE AUTHOR Recent
RECEIVES REAL EVALUATIONS WHICH USE Recent
THIS FORMALISM...UNTIL THEN IT IS Recent

```

	IMPOSSIBLE TO ADEQUATELY TEST THAT	Recent
	THE CODING FOR THIS FORMALISM IS	Recent
	CORRECT).	Recent
	*INCREASED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESONANCES	Recent
	FROM 1002 TO 4008.	Recent
	*DOUBLE PRECISION RESONANCE REGION	Recent
	LIMITS.	Recent
	*FILE 2 AND FILE 3 ENERGIES WHICH ARE	Recent
	NEARLY EQUAL ARE TREATED AS EQUAL	Recent
	(I.E., SAME TO ABOUT 9 DIGITS).	Recent
	*CHECK FILE 3 BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS	Recent
	IN EDIT MODE.	Recent
	*OPTION...INTERNALLY DEFINE FILENAMES	Recent
	(SEE SUBROUTINE FILEIO FOR DETAILS).	Recent
VERSION 89-1 (JANUARY 1989)	*PSYCHOANALYZED BY PROGRAM FREUD TO	Recent
	INSURE PROGRAM WILL NOT DO ANYTHING	Recent
	CRAZY.	Recent
	*UPDATED TO USE NEW PROGRAM CONVERT	Recent
	KEYWORDS.	Recent
	*CORRECTED MULTILEVEL, REICH-MOORE AND	Recent
	HYBRID R-FUNCTION POTENTIAL SCATTER	Recent
	TO ACCOUNT FOR REPEATED J-VALUES FOR	Recent
	THE SAME TARGET SPIN AND L-VALUE.	Recent
	*ADDED LIVERMORE CIVIC COMPILER	Recent
	CONVENTIONS.	Recent
	*UPDATED TO USE NEW ENDF/B-VI	Recent
	CONVENTION TO ALLOW UNRESOLVED	Recent
	RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO ALREADY	Recent
	BE INCLUDED IN THE FILE 3 CROSS	Recent
	SECTIONS (INFINITELY DIULUTE	Recent
	CONTRIBUTION).	Recent
VERSION 90-1 (JUNE 1990)	*UPDATED BASED ON USER COMMENTS	Recent
	*ADDED FORTRAN SAVE OPTION	Recent
	*NEW MORE CONSISTENT ENERGY OUTPUT	Recent
	ROUTINE	Recent
VERSION 91-1 (JULY 1991)	*NEW UNIFORM TREATMENT OF ALL RESONANCE	Recent
	FORMALISMS (SEE, COMMENTS BELOW)	Recent
	*NEW REICH-MOORE ALGORITHM	Recent
	*MORE EXTENSIVE ERROR CHECKING AND	Recent
	ERROR MESSAGE EXPLANATIONS	Recent
VERSION 92-1 (JANUARY 1992)	*MAJOR RESTRUCTING TO IMPROVE ACCURACY	Recent
	AND COMPUTER INDEPENDENCE.	Recent
	*INCREASED ENERGY POINT PAGE SIZE FROM	Recent
	1002 TO 4008.	Recent
	*NO MORE THAN 2 ENERGY POINTS WHERE	Recent
	CROSS SECTION IS ZERO AT BEGINNING	Recent
	OF A SECTION FOR EACH REACTION,E.G.,	Recent
	THRESHOLD FISSION.	Recent
	*PROCESS ONLY A PORTION OF RESONANCE	Recent
	REGION - SEE EXPLANATION BELOW	Recent
	*ALL ENERGIES INTERNALLY ROUNDED PRIOR	Recent
	TO CALCULATIONS.	Recent
	*COMPLETELY CONSISTENT I/O AND ROUNDING	Recent
	ROUTINES - TO MINIMIZE COMPUTER	Recent
	DEPENDENCE.	Recent
VERSION 93-1 (MARCH 1993)	*UPDATED REICH-MOORE TREATMENT TO USE	Recent
	L DEPENDENT SCATTERING RADIUS (APL)	Recent
	RATHER THAN SCATTERING RADIUS (AP)	Recent
	(SEE, ENDF/B-VI FORMATS AND	Recent
	PROCEDURES MANUAL, PAGE 2.6)	Recent
	*INCREASED PAGE SIZE FROM 4008 TO	Recent
	20040 DATA POINTS.	Recent
	*INCREASED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESONANCES	Recent
	FROM 4008 TO 20040.	Recent
VERSION 94-1 (JANUARY 1994)	*VARIABLE ENDF/B DATA FILENAMES	Recent
	TO ALLOW ACCESS TO FILE STRUCTURES	Recent
	(WARNING - INPUT PARAMETER FORMAT	Recent
	HAS BEEN CHANGED).	Recent
	*CLOSE ALL FILES BEFORE TERMINATING	Recent
	(SEE, SUBROUTINE ENDIT)	Recent
VERSION 94-2 (AUGUST 1994)	*CORRECTED ADDJ FOR ENERGY DEPENDENT	Recent

	(TABULATED) SCATTERING RADIUS CASE.	Recent
VERSION 96-1 (JANUARY 1996)	*COMPLETE RE-WRITE	Recent
	*IMPROVED COMPUTER INDEPENDENCE	Recent
	*ALL DOUBLE PRECISION	Recent
	*ON SCREEN OUTPUT	Recent
	*UNIFORM TREATMENT OF ENDF/B I/O	Recent
	*IMPROVED OUTPUT PRECISION	Recent
	*ALWAYS INCLUDE THERMAL VALUE	Recent
	*DEFINED SCRATCH FILE NAMES	Recent
VERSION 97-1 (APRIL 1997)	*OPTIONAL MAKE NEGATIVE CROSS	Recent
	SECTION = 0 FOR OUTPUT	Recent
	*INCREASED PAGE SIZE FROM 20040 TO	Recent
	120000 DATA POINTS.	Recent
	*INCREASED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESONANCES	Recent
	FROM 20040 TO 120000.	Recent
VERSION 99-1 (MARCH 1999)	*CORRECTED CHARACTER TO FLOATING	Recent
	POINT READ FOR MORE DIGITS	Recent
	*UPDATED TEST FOR ENDF/B FORMAT	Recent
	VERSION BASED ON RECENT FORMAT CHANGE	Recent
	*UPDATED CONSTANTS BASED ON CSEWG	Recent
	SUBCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS	Recent
	*GENERAL IMPROVEMENTS BASED ON	Recent
	USER FEEDBACK	Recent
VERSION 99-2 (JUNE 1999)	*IMPLEMENTED NEW REICH-MOORE FORMALISM	Recent
	TO ALLOW DEFINITION OF (L,J,S) FOR	Recent
	EACH SEQUENCE.	Recent
	*ASSUME ENDF/B-VI, NOT V, IF MISSING	Recent
	MF=1, MT-451.	Recent
VERS. 2000-1 (FEBRUARY 2000)	*GENERAL IMPROVEMENTS BASED ON	Recent
	USER FEEDBACK	Recent
VERS. 2002-1 (MAY 2002)	*OPTIONAL INPUT PARAMETERS	Recent
(SEPT. 2002)	*OUTPUT RESONANCE WITH 9 DIGITS	Recent
	*TO BE C AND C++ COMPATIBLE OUTPUT	Recent
VERS. 2004-1 (JAN. 2004)	*ADDED INCLUDE 'recent.h'	Recent
	*MADE ENDF/B-VII READY	Recent
	*UPDATED FOR NEW REICH-MOORE LRF=7	Recent
	PARAMETERS WITH COMPETITION	Recent
	*ADDED COULOMB PENETRATION FACTORS FOR	Recent
	LRF=7 COMPETITIVE CHANNELS.	Recent
	*EXTENDED DEFINITIONS OF PENETRATION	Recent
	FACTOR, LEVEL SHIFT FACTOR, AND	Recent
	POTENTIAL SCATTERING PHASE SHIFT	Recent
	ABOVE L = 5 TO INFINITY.	Recent
	*ADDED QUICK CALCULATION - IF THE	Recent
	INPUT ALLOWABLE ERROR IS 1.0 OR MORE	Recent
	(100 % OR MORE) THERE IS NO ITERATION	Recent
	TO CONVERGENCE - CROSS SECTION ARE	Recent
	QUICKLY CALCULATED ONLY AT A FIXED	Recent
	SET OF ENERGY POINTS, BASED ON THE	Recent
	ENERGY AND WIDTH OF ALL RESONANCES.	Recent
	THIS CAN BE USED TO QUICKLY "SEE"	Recent
	NEW EVALUATIONS THAT MAY CONTAIN	Recent
	ERRORS, THAT WOULD OTHERWISE CAUSE	Recent
	THIS CODE TO RUN FOR AN EXCESSIVELY	Recent
	LONG TIME.	Recent
VERS. 2005-1 (JUNE 2005)	*ADDED ENERGY DEPENDENT SCATTERING	Recent
	RADIUS FOR ALL RESONANCE TYPES	Recent
	(EARLIER ONLY BREIT-WIGNER ALLOWED).	Recent
VERS. 2007-1 (JAN. 2007)	*CHECKED AGAINST ALL ENDF/B-VII.	Recent
	*DECOUPLED PAGE SIZE FROM MAX. # OF	Recent
	RESONANCES.	Recent
	*INCREASED PAGE SIZE FROM 120,000 TO	Recent
	750,000 DATA POINTS.	Recent
	*KEPT MAX. # OF RESONANCE AT 120,000.	Recent
	*CORRECTED ALL BACKGROUND = 0 CASE	Recent
VERS. 2007-2 (OCT. 2007)	*NO MT=19 OUTPUT IF NO BACKGROUND,	Recent
	REGARDLESS OF INPUT OPTION.	Recent
	*72 CHARACTER FILE NAMES.	Recent
VERS. 2008-1 (FEB. 2008)	*CORRECTED NAPS ERROR - NOW DEFINE FOR	Recent
	ALL TYPES OF PARAMETERS - EARLIER	Recent
	ONLY DEFINED FOR B-W PARAMETERS.	Recent

VERS. 2008-2 (APRIL 2008)	*CORRECTED NRO/NAPS=1/1 - MUST DEFINE RHOX2 AT EACH RESONANCE USING SETRH01 BEFORE ENERGY DEPENDENT CALCULATION.	Recent Recent Recent
	*ADDED PRECISION TO RESONANCE PROFILE IN SUBROUTINE SUBINT	Recent Recent
VERS. 2009-1 (JULY 2009)	*NEW REICH-MOORE COMPETITIVE WIDTHS - IF CHARGED PARTICLE REACTION (MT=103 THROUGH 107) WILL ADD RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO COMPETITIVE MT AND IF PRESENT, THE GROUND LEVEL, MT = 600 THROUGH 800. IF COMPETITIVE CHANNEL IS mt=4 (TOTAL N.N') IT WILL ALSO ADD COMPETITIVE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO MT=50 (N,N' GROUND).	Recent Recent Recent Recent Recent Recent Recent Recent
	*NEW REICH-MOORE - SUM COMPETITIVE WIDTHS IF ALL FOR THE SAME STATE (MT)	Recent
VERS. 2009-2 (AUG. 2009)	*RE-WRITE TO USE 12, RATHER THAN 6, PARAMETERS PER RESONANCE.	Recent
	*MAJOR RE-WRITE TO ACCOMODATE GENERAL REICH-MOORE (LRF=7).	Recent
	*COMPLETE RE-WRITE FOR ADLER-ADLER AND HRF (N O LONGER USED IN ENDF/B) TO USE 12 PARAMETERS PER RESNANCE.	Recent Recent
VERS. 2010-1 (April 2010)	*ADDED SAMRML LOGIC TO HANDLE ALL LRF=7 CASES.	Recent
	*EXTENDED SAMRML LOGIC TO PROCESS ALL EVALUATIONS = RESOLVED + UNRESOLVED + TABULATED - SAMRML ONLY DOES ONE SECTION OF RESOLVED LRF=7 DATA WITHOUT TABULATED BACKGROUND.	Recent Recent Recent Recent
	*UPDATED ELASTIC POTENTIAL CALCULATION FOR TOTAL (SLBW) AND CORRECTION FOR MISSING SEQUENCES (MLBW, RM, HRF).	Recent Recent
	*ADDED HIDDEN (OPTIONAL) UNRESOLVED COMPETITION LISTING (NOT ENDF/B).	Recent
	*ADDED BOB MACFARLANE'S PROPOSAL - USE LRX TO DEFINE COMPETITIVE L VALUE - COMPETITIVE L = LRX - 1, IF LRX > 0.	Recent Recent
	*CHECKED FOR NEGATIVE WIDTHS.	Recent
VERS. 2012-1 (Nov. 2012)	*ADDED ENERGY DEPENDENT STEP SIZE FOR STARTING GRID AROUND RESONANCES.	Recent
	*Added CODENAME	Recent
	*32 and 64 bit Compatible	Recent
	*Added ERROR stops	Recent
	*Check for no capture for Reich-Moore.	Recent
VERS. 2012-2 (Nov. 2012)	*Eliminated ERROR in NHIGH(0) index.	Recent
VERS. 2013-1 (Nov. 2013)	*Extended OUT9.	Recent
VERS. 2015-1 (Jan. 2015)	*Multiple LRF=7, General Reich-Moore Resonance Regions.	Recent
	*Added OUT10.	Recent
	*Replaced ALL 3 way IF Statements.	Recent
	*Replaced ALL LOGICAL by INTEGER.	Recent
VERS. 2016-1 (Jan. 2016)	*Do not Change LSSF during the reconstruction - for compatibility with later URR treatment.	Recent Recent
	*Insured that all ERROR stops print a message explaining why the code stopped.	Recent Recent
	*Partial Energy Range Processing no longer allowed - today's computers are so fast that this option is now out-of-date and no longer allowed.	Recent Recent Recent
	*L-Value dependent fission = Earlier was done only by entire isotope.	Recent
	*Denser Starting Energy Grid.	Recent
VERS. 2017-1 (May 2017)	*Corrected ERROR in LRF=3 treatment. This ERROR only existed in version 2016-1, which was never released to the general public, so it will not effect any results calculated by code	Recent Recent Recent Recent

users. Recent
 *All floating input parameters changed Recent
 to character input + IN9 conversion. Recent
 *Added points to starting energy grid Recent
 to approximate the shape of each Recent
 resonance = based on comparisons of Recent
 0.01% to 0.1% results. Recent
 *Increased max. points to 1,200,000. Recent
 *LRF=7 Shift option no longer allowed Recent
 Set = 0, print WARNING and continue. Recent
 *Corrected COMMON/NAPRHO/NRO,NAPS Recent
 /NAPRHO/ misspelled - Freud found. Recent
 VERS. 2017-2 (Sept. 2017) *Corrected Write statemnt at 5731. Recent
 VERS. 2018-1 (Jan. 2018) *Added output for ALL ENDEERROR Recent

OWNED, MAINTAINED AND DISTRIBUTED BY

 THE NUCLEAR DATA SECTION
 INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY
 P.O. BOX 100
 A-1400, VIENNA, AUSTRIA
 EUROPE

ORIGINALLY WRITTEN BY

 Dermott E. Cullen

PRESENT CONTACT INFORMATION

 Dermott E. Cullen
 1466 Hudson Way
 Livermore, CA 94550
 U.S.A.
 Telephone 925-443-1911
 E. Mail RedCullen1@Comcast.net
 Website RedCullen1.net/HOMEPAGE.NEW

Acknowledgement (Version 2004-1)

=====

The author thanks Nancy Larson, ORNL, for providing her SAMRML
 code for comparison to RECENT output for Reich-Moore evaluations,
 in particular to verify results for the new LFR=7 evaluations. I
 also thank her for providing guidance to help me understand and
 implement this new treatment for Reich-Moore parameters.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT (VERSION 92-1)

=====

THE AUTHOR THANKS SOL PEARLSTEIN (BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LAB) FOR
 SIGNIFICANTLY CONTRIBUTING TOWARD IMPROVING THE ACCURACY AND
 COMPUTER INDEPENDENCE OF THIS CODE - THANKS, SOL

AUTHORS MESSAGE

=====

THE REPORT DESCRIBED ABOVE IS THE LATEST PUBLISHED DOCUMENTATION
 FOR THIS PROGRAM. HOWEVER, THE COMMENTS BELOW SHOULD BE CONSIDERED
 THE LATEST DOCUMENTATION INCLUDING ALL RECENT IMPROVEMENTS. PLEASE
 READ ALL OF THESE COMMENTS BEFORE IMPLEMENTATION, PARTICULARLY
 THE COMMENTS CONCERNING MACHINE DEPENDENT CODING.

AT THE PRESENT TIME WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO DEVELOP A SET OF COMPUTER
 INDEPENDENT PROGRAMS THAT CAN EASILY BE IMPLEMENTED ON ANY ONE
 OF A WIDE VARIETY OF COMPUTERS. IN ORDER TO ASSIST IN THIS PROJECT
 IT WOULD BE APPRECIATED IF YOU WOULD NOTIFY THE AUTHOR OF ANY
 COMPILER DIAGNOSTICS, OPERATING PROBLEMS OR SUGGESTIONS ON HOW TO
 IMPROVE THIS PROGRAM. HOPEFULLY, IN THIS WAY FUTURE VERSIONS OF
 THIS PROGRAM WILL BE COMPLETELY COMPATIBLE FOR USE ON YOUR
 COMPUTER.

PURPOSE

=====

ALSO INCLUDED.	Recent
DOCUMENTATION	Recent
-----	Recent
THE FACT THAT THIS PROGRAM HAS OPERATED ON THE DATA IS DOCUMENTED	Recent
BY THE ADDITION OF COMMENT CARDS AT THE END OF EACH HOLLERITH	Recent
SECTION IN THE FORM	Recent
***** RECENT (VERSION 2018-1) *****	Recent
RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION RECONSTRUCTED TO WITHIN 0.100 PER-CENT	Recent
COMBINED DATA NOT THINNED (ALL RESONANCE + BACKGROUND DATA KEPT)	Recent
THE ORDER OF ALL SIMILAR COMMENTS (FROM LINEAR, SIGMA1 AND GROUPY)	Recent
REPRESENTS A COMPLETE HISTORY OF ALL OPERATIONS PERFORMED ON	Recent
THE DATA, INCLUDING WHICH VERSION OF EACH PROGRAM WAS USED.	Recent
THESE COMMENT CARDS ARE ONLY ADDED TO EXISTING HOLLERITH SECTIONS,	Recent
I.E., THIS PROGRAM WILL NOT CREATE A HOLLERITH SECTION. THE FORMAT	Recent
OF THE HOLLERITH SECTION IN ENDF/B-V DIFFERS FROM THE THAT OF	Recent
EARLIER VERSIONS OF ENDF/B. BY READING AN EXISTING MF=1, MT=451	Recent
IT IS POSSIBLE FOR THIS PROGRAM TO DETERMINE WHICH VERSION OF	Recent
THE ENDF/B FORMAT THE DATA IS IN. WITHOUT HAVING A SECTION OF	Recent
MF=1, MT=451 PRESENT IT IS IMPOSSIBLE FOR THIS PROGRAM TO	Recent
DETERMINE WHICH VERSION OF THE ENDF/B FORMAT THE DATA IS IN, AND	Recent
AS SUCH IT IS IMPOSSIBLE FOR THE PROGRAM TO DETERMINE WHAT FORMAT	Recent
SHOULD BE USED TO CREATE A HOLLERITH SECTION.	Recent
REACTION INDEX	Recent
-----	Recent
THIS PROGRAM DOES NOT USE THE REACTION INDEX WHICH IS GIVEN IN	Recent
SECTION MF=1, MT=451 OF EACH EVALUATION.	Recent
THIS PROGRAM DOES NOT UPDATE THE REACTION INDEX IN MF=1, MT=451.	Recent
THIS CONVENTION HAS BEEN ADOPTED BECAUSE MOST USERS DO NOT	Recent
REQUIRE A CORRECT REACTION INDEX FOR THEIR APPLICATIONS AND IT WAS	Recent
NOT CONSIDERED WORTHWHILE TO INCLUDE THE OVERHEAD OF CONSTRUCTING	Recent
A CORRECT REACTION INDEX IN THIS PROGRAM. HOWEVER, IF YOU REQUIRE	Recent
A REACTION INDEX FOR YOUR APPLICATIONS, AFTER RUNNING THIS PROGRAM	Recent
YOU MAY USE PROGRAM DICTIN TO CREATE A CORRECT REACTION INDEX.	Recent
OUTPUT FORMAT OF ENERGIES	Recent
-----	Recent
IN THIS VERSION OF RECENT ALL FILE 3 ENERGIES WILL BE OUTPUT IN	Recent
F (INSTEAD OF E) FORMAT IN ORDER TO ALLOW ENERGIES TO BE WRITTEN	Recent
WITH UP TO 9 DIGITS OF ACCURACY. IN PREVIOUS VERSIONS THIS WAS AN	Recent
OUTPUT OPTION. HOWEVER USE OF THIS OPTION TO COMPARE THE RESULTS	Recent
OF ENERGIES WRITTEN IN THE NORMAL ENDF/B CONVENTION OF 6 DIGITS	Recent
TO THE 9 DIGIT OUTPUT FROM THIS PROGRAM DEMONSTRATED THAT FAILURE	Recent
TO USE THE 9 DIGIT OUTPUT CAN LEAD TO LARGE ERRORS IN THE DATA	Recent
JUST DUE TO TRANSLATION OF ENERGIES FROM THEIR INTERNAL (BINARY)	Recent
REPRESENTATION TO THE ENDF/B FORMAT.	Recent
ACCURACY OF ENERGY	Recent
-----	Recent
IN ORDER TO ALLOW ENERGIES TO BE ACCURATELY OUTPUT TO 9 DIGITS	Recent
ON SHORT WORD LENGTH COMPUTERS (E.G. IBM) ALL ENERGIES AND	Recent
ENERGY DEPENDENT TERMS ARE READ AND TREATED IN DOUBLE PRECISION.	Recent
OUTPUT OF RESONANCE PARAMETERS	Recent
-----	Recent
A SPECIAL CONVENTION HAS BEEN INTRODUCED REGARDING RESONANCE	Recent
PARAMETERS. IN ORDER TO ALLOW THE USER TO DOPPLER BROADEN AND/OR	Recent
SELF-SHIELD CROSS SECTIONS THE RESONANCE PARAMETERS ARE ALSO	Recent
INCLUDED IN THE OUTPUT WITH THE EVALUATION. IN ORDER TO AVOID THE	Recent
POSSIBILITY OF ADDING THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION A SECOND TIME	Recent
TWO CONVENTIONS HAVE BEEN ADOPTED TO INDICATE THAT THE RESONANCE	Recent
CONTRIBUTION HAS ALREADY BEEN ADDED TO THE FILE 3 CROSS SECTIONS,	Recent
(1) WHEN THE DATA IS PROCESSED BY THIS PROGRAM LRP (IN MF=1,	Recent
MT=451) IS SET EQUAL TO 2. THIS IS A CONVENTION WHICH HAS BEEN	Recent
ADOPTED AS A STANDARD CONVENTION IN ENDF/B-VI, BUT IS ONLY TO BE	Recent

USED FOR PROCESSED DATA, AS OPPOSED TO THE ORIGINAL EVALUATIONS. IN EVALUATIONS WHICH CONTAIN MF=1, MT=451 LRP CAN BE USED TO DETERMINE IF THE MATERIAL HAS BEEN PROCESSED.

(2) THE LRU FLAG IN EACH SECTION OF FILE 2 DATA IS CHANGED TO LRU=LRU+3. FOR EXAMPLE WHEN READING AN ENDF/B EVALUATION LRU=0 (NO RESONANCES), =1 (RESOLVED) OR =2 (UNRESOLVED) INDICATES THAT THE DATA IS IN THE ORIGINAL ENDF/B FORM. LRU=3 (NO RESONANCES), =4 (RESOLVED) OR =5 (UNRESOLVED) INDICATES THAT THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION HAS ALREADY BEEN ADDED TO THE FILE 3 DATA. THIS SECOND CONVENTION HAS BEEN ADOPTED AS INSURANCE THAT THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION WILL NOT BE ADDED TWICE, EVEN FOR EVALUATIONS WHICH DO NOT CONTAIN MF=1, MT=451 (EVALUATIONS WHICH CONTAIN MF=1, MT=451 ARE COVERED BY CONVENTION (1), DESCRIBED ABOVE).

UNIFORM TREATMENT OF RESONANCE FORMALISMS

=====

NORMALIZATION

=====

ALL OF THE RESONANCE FORMALISMS INCLUDE A FACTOR OF,

$$\pi * (\text{FRACTIONAL ABUNDANCE}) / (K^{**2})$$

THIS FACTOR HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THE CALCULATION OF EACH TYPE OF RESONANCE FORMALISM AND IS APPLIED AS A FINAL NORMALIZATION AFTER THE CALCULATION, ONLY ONE PLACE IN THIS PROGRAM.

FOR SIMPLICITY THIS TERM IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING DERIVATIONS - IN ALL CASES THE ACTUAL CROSS SECTION IS A PRODUCT OF THE ABOVE FACTOR TIMES THE RESULTS PRESENTED BELOW.

SIMILARITIES

=====

FOR THE RESOLVED RESONANCE REGION, EXCEPT FOR SINGLE LEVEL BREIT WIGNER, PARAMETERS ALL OF THE FORMALISMS DEFINE THE CROSS SECTIONS IN AN EQUIVALENT FORM,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TOTAL} &= 2 * GJ * \text{REAL}(1 - U) \\ &= 2 * GJ * (1 - \text{REAL}(U)) \\ \text{ELASTIC} &= GJ * (1 - U) ** 2 \\ &= GJ * ((1 - 2 * \text{REAL}(U)) + (\text{REAL}(U) ** 2 + \text{IM}(U) ** 2)) \\ &= 2 * GJ * (1 - \text{REAL}(U)) - GJ * (1 - (\text{REAL}(U) ** 2 + \text{IM}(U) ** 2)) \end{aligned}$$

SINCE THE FIRST TERM IS THE TOTAL, THE SECOND TERM MUST BE ABSORPTION. SO WE FIND,

$$\text{ABSORPTION} = GJ * (1 - (\text{REAL}(U) ** 2 + \text{IM}(U) ** 2))$$

IN ALL CASES U IS DEFINED IN THE FORM,

$$U = \exp(-I * 2 * PS) * ((1 - X) - I * Y)$$

WHERE (X) AND (Y) ARE RELATED TO THE SYMMETRIC AND ANTI-SYMMETRIC CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE RESONANCES, RESPECTIVELY. ONLY THE DEFINITION OF (X) AND (Y) WILL BE DIFFERENT FOR EACH RESONANCE FORMALISM. BELOW WE WILL SHOW THAT WHAT MIGHT APPEAR TO BE A STRANGE CHOICE OF DEFINITION OF THE SIGN OF (X) AND (Y) HAS BEEN SELECTED SO THAT FOR BREIT-WIGNER PARAMETERS (X) AND (Y) CORRESPOND EXACTLY TO THE SYMMETRIC AND ANTI-SYMMETRIC CONTRIBUTION OF THE RESONANCES.

$$\begin{aligned} U &= (\cos(2 * PS) - I * \sin(2 * PS)) * ((1 - X) - I * Y) \\ &= ((1 - X) * \cos(2 * PS) - Y * \sin(2 * PS)) \\ &= -I * ((1 - X) * \sin(2 * PS) + Y * \cos(2 * PS)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{REAL}(U) &= ((1 - X) * \cos(2 * PS) - Y * \sin(2 * PS)) \\ \text{IM}(U) &= -((1 - X) * \sin(2 * PS) + Y * \cos(2 * PS)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(U) ** 2 &= ((1 - X) * \cos(2 * PS)) ** 2 + (Y * \sin(2 * PS)) ** 2 \\ &\quad - 2 * (1 - X) * Y * \cos(2 * PS) * \sin(2 * PS) \\ I(U) ** 2 &= ((1 - X) * \sin(2 * PS)) ** 2 + (Y * \cos(2 * PS)) ** 2 \\ &\quad + 2 * (1 - X) * Y * \cos(2 * PS) * \sin(2 * PS) \end{aligned}$$

THE RESULTS OBTAINED USING THE SINGLE LEVEL FORMULA, MULTI-LEVEL
 RESULTS WILL TEND TO ALWAYS DECREASE THE ABSORPTION AND INCREASE
 THE ELASTIC. THIS CAN BE IMMEDIATELY SEEN FROM OUR GENERAL
 MULTI-LEVEL DEFINITION OF ABSORPTION, Recent

$$\text{ABSORPTION} = \text{GJ} * (2 * X - ((X) ** 2 + (Y) ** 2))$$

THE SINGLE LEVEL ABSORPTION IS, Recent

$$\text{ABSORPTION} = \text{GJ} * (2 * X)$$

THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO IS $-2 * \text{GJ} * (X ** 2 + Y ** 2)$, SO THAT
 REGARDLESS OF HOW WE DEFINE (X) AND (Y) THE INCLUSION OF THIS
 TERM WILL ALWAYS DECREASE ABSORPTION. SINCE THE TOTAL CROSS
 SECTION IS THE SAME IN BOTH CASE, THIS MEANS THAT THE ELASTIC
 HAS BEEN INCREASED BY THIS AMOUNT. Recent

AGAIN, THESE RESULTS ARE BASED ON STARTING FROM EXACTLY THE SAME
 PARAMETERS - IN ANY ACTUAL CASE THE PARAMETERS BASED ON A SINGLE
 OR MULTI-LEVEL FIT WILL BE QUITE DIFFERENT - THE POINT THAT WE
 WANT TO STRESS HERE IS THAT YOU SHOULD NEVER USE PARAMETERS
 WHICH HAVE BEEN DEFINED BY A FIT USING ONE FORMALISM - IN THE
 EQUATIONS FOR A DIFFERENT FORMALISM - AND ASSUME THAT THE RESULTS
 WILL BE CONSISTENT - AND NEVER USE THE TOTAL CROSS SECTION TO
 SEE WHETHER OR NOT A SET OF SINGLE LEVEL PARAMETERS CAN BE USED
 WITH A MULTI-LEVEL FORMALISM. Recent

POTENTIAL CROSS SECTION
 ===== Recent

FAR FROM RESONANCES (X) AND (Y) WILL BE SMALL AND THE ELASTIC
 CROSS SECTION REDUCES TO, Recent

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ELASTIC} &= \text{GJ} * (2 * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2) ** 2 + (\sin(2 * \text{PS})) ** 2 \\ &= \text{GJ} * 4 * (\sin(\text{PS})) ** 4 + \sin(2 * \text{PS}) ** 2 \end{aligned}$$

USING THE IDENTITY $\sin(2 * \text{PS}) = 2 * \sin(\text{PS}) * \cos(\text{PS})$ Recent

$$\begin{aligned} &= 4 * \text{GJ} * (\sin(\text{PS})) ** 4 + (\sin(\text{PS}) * \cos(\text{PS})) ** 2 \\ &= 4 * \text{GJ} * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2 * (\sin(\text{PS}) ** 2 + \cos(\text{PS}) ** 2) \\ &= 4 * \text{GJ} * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2 \end{aligned}$$

WHICH IS THE POTENTIAL CROSS SECTION. NOTE THAT THIS RESULT IS
 INDEPENDENT OF THE FORMALISM USED, AS IT MUST PHYSICALLY BE,
 AND AS SUCH ALTHOUGH AS YET WE HAVE NOT DEFINED IT, WE CAN
 NOW SEE THAT IN ALL CASES (PS) MUST BE THE PHASE SHIFT AND FOR
 CONSISTENCY IT MUST BE DEFINED USING EXACTLY THE SAME DEFINITION
 IN ALL CASES. Recent

IN ADDITION SINCE PHYSICALLY FOR EACH L VALUE WE EXPECT TO OBTAIN
 A POTENTIAL CROSS SECTION, Recent

$$4 * (2 * L + 1) * \sin(\text{PS}) ** 2$$

OBVIOUSLY FOR CONSISTENCY WE MUST HAVE, Recent

$$(2 * L + 1) = (\text{SUM OVER J}) \text{GJ}$$

ONLY IN THIS CASE WILL THE RESULTS BE CONSISTENT - THIS POINT WILL
 BE DISCUSSED IN DETAIL BELOW. Recent

WHAT ARE THIS TERMS (X) AND (Y)
 ===== Recent

(X) AND (Y) CAN BE EASILY IDENTIFIED BY CONSIDERING THE SINGLE
 AND MULTI-LEVEL BREIT WIGNER FORMALISMS. IN THESE CASES WE WILL
 FIND THAT, Recent

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \text{GAM}(N) * \text{GAM}(T) / 2 / \text{DEN} \\ Y &= \text{GAM}(N) * (\text{E} - \text{ER}) / \text{DEN} \\ \text{DEN} &= ((\text{E} - \text{ER}) ** 2 + (\text{GAM}(T) / 2) ** 2) \end{aligned}$$

EXTREME CARE HAS TO BE USED TO PROPERLY DEFINE (Y) SUCH THAT IT Recent

IS NEGATIVE FOR E LESS THAN ER AND POSITIVE FOR E GREATER THAN ER. I WILL MERELY MENTION THAT THE EQUATIONS FOR ALL FORMALISMS IN ENDF-102 DO NOT CONSISTENTLY USE (E - ER) - IN SOME CASES THIS IS WRITTEN AS (ER - E), WHICH CAN LEAD TO AN INCORRECT SIGN IN THE DEFINITION OF THE (Y) THAT WE REQUIRE.

THE INTERFERENCE TERMS CAN BE WRITTEN IN TERMS OF,
1) LEVEL-SELF INTERFERENCE = THE CONTRIBUTION OF EACH LEVEL INTERFERING WITH ITSELF
2) LEVEL-LEVEL INTERFERENCE = THE CONTRIBUTION OF EACH LEVEL INTERFERING WITH ALL OTHER LEVELS

WE WILL REFER TO THESE TWO AS (L-S) AND (L-L),

$$\begin{aligned} X^{**2} &= (\text{GAM}(N) * (\text{GAM}(T)/2)^{**2} / (\text{DEN})^{**2} + (\text{L-L}) \\ &= (\text{GAM}(N)^{**2} * ((\text{GAM}(T)/2)^{**2}) / (\text{DEN})^{**2} + (\text{L-L}) \\ Y^{**2} &= (\text{GAM}(N))^{**2} * ((\text{E-ER}))^{**2} / (\text{DEN})^{**2} + (\text{L-L}) \end{aligned}$$

$$X^{**2} + Y^{**2} = \text{GAM}(N)^{**2} * \text{DEN} / (\text{DEN})^{**2} = \text{GAM}(N)^{**2} / \text{DEN} + (\text{L-L})$$

TO SEE THE EFFECT OF INCLUDING MULTI-LEVEL INTERFERENCE WE CAN CONSIDER OUR GENERAL EXPRESSION FOR ABSORPTION,

$$\text{ABSORPTION} = \text{GJ} * (2 * X - ((X)^{**2} + (Y)^{**2}))$$

AND NOTE THAT FOR BOTH SINGLE AND MULTI-LEVEL BREIT WIGNER THE ENDF-102 SAYS TO TREAT ABSORPTION IN A SINGLE LEVEL APPROXIMATION I.E., IGNORE LEVEL-LEVEL INTERFERENCE. IF ALL INTERFERENCE IS IGNORED THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO COMPLETELY IGNORING $X^{**2} + Y^{**2}$ AND DEFINING,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ABSORPTION} &= \text{GJ} * 2 * X \\ &= 2 * \text{GJ} * \text{GAM}(N) * \text{GAM}(T) / \text{DEN} \end{aligned}$$

WHICH IS INCORRECT - SINCE THIS SEEMS TO INDICATE EVERYTHING IS ABSORBED. IN ORDER TO OBTAIN THE CORRECT EXPRESSION WE CANNOT COMPLETELY IGNORE INTERFERENCE - WE CAN IGNORE LEVEL-LEVEL INTERFERENCE, BUT WE MUST INCLUDE LEVEL-SELF INTERFERENCE,

$$X^{**2} + Y^{**2} = \text{GAM}(N)^{**2} / \text{DEN}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ABSORPTION} &= \text{GJ} * (2 * X - ((X)^{**2} + (Y)^{**2})) \\ &= \text{GJ} * \text{GAM}(N) * (\text{GAM}(T) - \text{GAM}(N)) / \text{DEN} \\ &= \text{GJ} * \text{GAM}(N) * \text{GAM}(A) / \text{DEN} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMARY

AN IMPORTANT POINT TO NOTE IS THE DEFINITION OF (X) AND (Y) WHICH IN ALL CASES WILL CORRESPOND TO THE SYMMETRIC AND ANTI-SYMMETRIC CONTRIBUTION OF THE RESONANCES. IN PARTICULAR DEFINING (U) IN TERMS OF (1-X) INSTEAD OF (X) IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT. NOTE, THAT THE DEFINITION OF THE ELASTIC AND ABSORPTION ONLY INVOLVE (X), NOT (1-X). FAR FROM RESONANCES (X) CAN BE EXTREMELY SMALL, THEREFORE (1-X) WILL BE VERY CLOSE TO (1). IF THE CALCULATION PROCEEDS BY FIRST CALCULATING (1-X) AND THEN DEFINING (X) BY SUBTRACTING (1), EXTREME ROUND-OFF PROBLEMS CAN RESULT. THESE PROBLEMS CAN BE AVOIDED BY IN ALL CASES DEFINING (X) DIRECTLY, WITHOUT ANY DIFFERENCES.

IN EACH FORMALISM THE DEFINITION OF (X) AND (Y) MAY BE DIFFERENT BUT ONCE WE HAVE DEFINED (X) AND (Y) WE CAN IMMEDIATELY WRITE THE CROSS SECTIONS USING A UNIFORM DEFINITION,

$$\text{ELASTIC} = \text{GJ} * (2 * \text{SIN}(PS)^{**2} - X)^{**2} + (\text{SIN}(2 * PS) + Y)^{**2}$$

$$\text{ABSORPTION} = -\text{GJ} * (2 * X + (X)^{**2} + (Y)^{**2})$$

AND DEFINE THE TOTAL AS THE SUM OF THESE 2 PARTS.

RELATIONSHIP TO SINGLE LEVEL

=====

HOW DO THE SINGLE AND MULTI-LEVEL FORMALISMS COMPARE. TO SEE, Recent
STARTING FROM OUR GENERAL DEFINITION OF THE ELASTIC IN THE FORM, Recent
Recent
ELASTIC =GJ*(2*SIN(PS)**2 + X)**2 + (SIN(2*PS) + Y)**2) Recent
=GJ*(4*SIN(PS)**4 - 4*X*SIN(PS)**2 + X**2 Recent
+ SIN(2*PS)**2 + 2*Y*SIN(2*PS) + Y**2) Recent
Recent
=4*GJ*SIN(PS)**2 + Recent
GJ*(X**2 + Y**2 Recent
-4*X*SIN(PS)**2 Recent
+2*Y*SIN(2*PS)) Recent
Recent
AND OUR SPECIFIC DEFINITIONS OF (X) AND (Y) FOR MULTI-LEVEL BREIT- Recent
WIGNER PARAMETERS, Recent
Recent
X = GAM(N)*GAM(T)/2/DEN Recent
Y = GAM(N)*(E-ER)/DEN Recent
DEN = ((E-ER)**2 + (GAM(T)/2)**2) Recent
Recent
X**2+Y**2= GAM(N)**2/DEN + (L-L) Recent
Recent
WE CAN RECOGNIZE X**2 AND Y**2 AS THE INTERFERENCE - (L-S) + (L-L) Recent
TERMS IN THE MULTI-LEVEL FORMALISM. IN ORDER TO OBTAIN THE SINGLE Recent
LEVEL EQUATION WE CAN ASSUME THAT EACH LEVEL DOES NOT INTERFERE Recent
WITH ANY OTHER LEVEL - THEREFORE THE (L-L) CONTRIBUTION IS ZERO. Recent
Recent
ELASTIC =4*GJ*SIN(PS)**2 + Recent
GJ*GAM(N)*(GAM(N) Recent
-2*GAM(T)*SIN(PS)**2 Recent
+2*(E-ER)*SIN(2*PS))/DEN Recent
Recent
WHICH IS THE FORM THAT IT APPEARS IN ENDF-102, EXCEPT FOR TWO Recent
TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS IN THE SECOND TERM, Recent
Recent
-2*GAM(T)*SIN(PS)**2 Recent
Recent
WHICH IN ENDF-102 IS WRITTEN, Recent
Recent
-2*(GAM(T)-GAM(N))*SIN(2*PS)**2 Recent
Recent
PROGRAM CONVENTIONS Recent
===== Recent
MINIMUM INPUT DATA Recent
----- Recent
FOR EACH MATERIAL TO BE PROCESSED THE MINIMUM INPUT DATA ARE THE Recent
RESONANCE PARAMETERS IN FILE 2. IF THERE ARE NO FILE 2 PARAMETERS Recent
IN A GIVEN MATERIAL THE ENTIRE MATERIAL WILL SIMPLY BE COPIED. Recent
NEITHER THE HOLLERITH SECTION (MF=1, MT=451) NOR THE BACKGROUND Recent
CROSS SECTION (SECTIONS OF MF=3) NEED BE PRESENT FOR THIS PROGRAM Recent
TO EXECUTE PROPERLY. HOWEVER, SINCE THE CONVENTIONS USED IN Recent
INTERPRETING THE RESONANCE PARAMETERS DEPENDS ON ENDF/B VERSION Recent
USERS ARE STRONGLY RECOMMENDED TO INSURE THAT MF=1, MT=451 IS Recent
PRESENT IN EACH MATERIAL TO ALLOW THE PROGRAM TO DETERMINE THE Recent
ENDF/B FORMAT VERSION. Recent
Recent
RESONANCE PARAMETERS Recent
----- Recent
RESONANCE PARAMETERS MAY BE REPRESENTED USING ANY COMBINATION Recent
OF THE REPRESENTATIONS ALLOWED IN ENDF/B, Recent
Recent
(1) RESOLVED DATA Recent
(A) SINGLE LEVEL BREIT-WIGNER Recent
(B) MULTI-LEVEL BREIT-WIGNER Recent
(C) ADLER-ADLER Recent
(D) REICH-MOORE Recent
(E) HYBRID R-FUNCTION Recent
Recent
(2) UNRESOLVED DATA Recent
(A) ALL PARAMETERS ENERGY INDEPENDENT Recent
(B) FISSION PARAMETERS ENERGY DEPENDENT Recent
(C) ALL PARAMETERS ENERGY DEPENDENT Recent
Recent
THE FOLLOWING RESOLVED DATA FORMALISMS ARE NOT TREATED BY THIS Recent

VERSION OF THE CODE AND WILL ONLY BE IMPLEMENTED AFTER EVALUATIONS USING THESE FORMALISMS ARE AVAILABLE TO THE AUTHOR OF THIS CODE FOR TESTING IN ORDER TO INSURE THAT THEY CAN BE HANDLED PROPERLY

(A) GENERAL R-MATRIX

CALCULATED CROSS SECTIONS

THIS PROGRAM WILL USE THE RESONANCE PARAMETERS TO CALCULATE THE TOTAL, ELASTIC, CAPTURE AND POSSIBLY FISSION CROSS SECTIONS. THE COMPETITIVE WIDTH WILL BE USED IN THESE CALCULATIONS, BUT THE COMPETITIVE CROSS SECTION ITSELF WILL NOT BE CALCULATED. THE ENDF/B CONVENTION IS THAT ALTHOUGH A COMPETITIVE WIDTH MAY BE GIVEN, THE COMPETITIVE CROSS SECTION MUST BE SEPARATELY TABULATED AS A SECTION OF FILE 3 DATA.

RESOLVED REGION

IN THE RESOLVED REGION THE RESOLVED PARAMETERS ARE USED TO CALCULATE COLD (0 KELVIN), LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE, ENERGY DEPENDENT CROSS SECTIONS.

SCATTERING RADIUS

FOR SINGLE OR MULTI LEVEL BREIT-WIGNER PARAMETERS THE SCATTERING RADIUS MAY BE SPECIFIED IN EITHER ENERGY INDEPENDENT (CONSTANT) OR ENERGY DEPENDENT FORM (A TABLE OF ENERGY VS. RADIUS AND AN ASSOCIATED INTERPOLATION LAW). IN ALL OTHER CASE ONLY AN ENERGY INDEPENDENT SCATTERING RADIUS IS ALLOWED.

FOR ANY ONE MATERIAL (I.E. MAT) IF ENERGY DEPENDENT SCATTERING RADII ARE GIVEN THE TOTAL NUMBER OF INTERPOLATION REGIONS AND TABULATED VALUES FOR THE ENTIRE MATERIAL CANNOT EXCEED,

200 - INTERPOLATION REGIONS
500 - TABULATED VALUES

IF THESE LIMITS ARE EXCEEDED THE PROGRAM WILL PRINT AN ERROR MESSAGE AND TERMINATE.

IF YOU REQUIRE A LARGER NUMBER OF INTERPOLATION REGION AND/OR TABULATED VALUES,

(1) INTERPOLATION REGIONS - INCREASE THE DIMENSION OF NBTRHO AND INTRHO IN COMMON/TABRHO/ THROUGHOUT THE PROGRAM AND CHANGE MAXSEC IN SUBROUTINE RDAP (MAXSEC = MAXIMUM NUMBER OF INTERPOLATION REGIONS).

(2) TABULATED VALUES - INCREASE THE DIMENSION OF ERHOTB, RHOTAB AND APTAB IN COMMON/TABRHO/ THROUGHOUT THE PROGRAM AND CHANGE MAXRHO IN SUBROUTINE RDAP (MAXRHO = MAXIMUM NUMBER OF TABULATED VALUES).

RESOLVED REICH-MOORE AND MULTI-LEVEL BREIT-WIGNER PARAMETERS

CROSS SECTIONS FOR REICH-MOORE PARAMETERS ARE CALCULATED ACCORDING TO THE EQUATION (1) - (8) OF SECTION D.1.3 OF ENDF-102. IN ORDER TO CALCULATE CROSS SECTIONS FROM MULTI-LEVEL PARAMETERS IN A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME THIS PROGRAM EXPRESSES THE CROSS SECTION IN TERMS OF A SINGLE SUM OVER RESONANCES (SEE, ENDF-102, SECTION D.1.2, EQUATIONS 6-7), RATHER THAN AS A DOUBLE SUM (SEE, ENDF-102 SECTION D.1.2, EQUATION 1-2). IN ORDER FOR THE ENDF-102 EQUATIONS TO BE CORRECT THE PARAMETERS MUST MEET THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS,

(1) FOR EACH L STATE ALL PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE J SEQUENCES MUST BE PRESENT. ONLY IN THIS CASE WILL THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE INDIVIDUAL J SEQUENCES ADD UP TO PRODUCE THE CORRECT POTENTIAL SCATTERING CONTRIBUTION FOR THE L STATE (SEE, ENDF-102, SECTION D.1.2, EQUATIONS 6-7). IF ANY J SEQUENCE IS MISSING THE PROGRAM WILL PRINT A WARNING AND ADD THE J SEQUENCE WITH NO RESONANCE PARAMETERS IN ORDER TO ALLOW THE POTENTIAL SCATTERING TO BE CALCULATED CORRECTLY (THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO ASSUMING THAT THE EVALUATOR REALIZES THAT ALL J SEQUENCES MUST BE AND ARE PRESENT AND THAT THE EVALUATION STATES THAT THERE ARE NO RESONANCES WITH CERTAIN PHYSICALLY POSSIBLE J VALUES... IN THIS CASE POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION MUST STILL BE CONSIDERED).

ADEQUATE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROFILE OF EVEN EXTREMELY NARROW RESONANCES (WHICH MAY IMMEDIATELY CONVERGENCE TO THE ACCURACY REQUESTED, THUS MINIMIZING ITERATION).

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

Recent

BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS

THE PROGRAM WILL SEARCH FOR BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS FOR TOTAL (MT=1), ELASTIC (MT=2), FISSION (MT=18), FIRST CHANCE FISSION (MT=19) AND CAPTURE (MT=102).

- (1) THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS (FILE 3) CAN BE PRESENT OR NOT PRESENT FOR EACH REACTION.
- (2) IF FOR A GIVEN REACTION THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION IS PRESENT, IT WILL BE ADDED TO THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION AND THE RESULT WILL BE OUTPUT.
- (3) IF FOR A GIVEN REACTION THE BACKGROUND IS NOT PRESENT THE PROGRAM WILL,
 - (A) IF THE INPUT TO THE PROGRAM SPECIFIES NO OUTPUT FOR REACTIONS WITH NO BACKGROUND THERE WILL BE NO OUTPUT.
 - (B) IF THE INPUT TO THE PROGRAM SPECIFIES OUTPUT FOR REACTIONS WITH NO BACKGROUND,
 - (I) THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO TOTAL, ELASTIC OR CAPTURE WILL BE OUTPUT.
 - (II) IF ALL FISSION RESONANCE PARAMETERS ARE ZERO THE FISSION CROSS SECTION (MT=18) WILL NOT BE OUTPUT. OTHERWISE THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION OF THE FISSION (MT=18) WILL BE OUTPUT.
 - (III) THERE WILL BE NO OUTPUT FOR FIRST CHANCE FISSION (MT=19).

COMBINING RESONANCES AND BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS

IN ORDER TO BE COMBINED WITH THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS MUST BE GIVEN AT 0 KELVIN TEMPERATURE AND MUST BE LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE. IF THESE CONDITIONS ARE MET THE RESONANCE AND BACKGROUND CONTRIBUTIONS WILL BE ADDED TOGETHER AND OUTPUT. IF THESE CONDITIONS ARE NOT MET THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION WILL BE IGNORED AND ONLY THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION WILL BE OUTPUT. IF THE BACKGROUND HAS NOT BEEN ADDED TO THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION AFTER THIS PROGRAM FINISHES THE USER CAN MAKE THE RESONANCE AND BACKGROUND CONTRIBUTIONS COMPATIBLE BY,

- (1) IF THE BACKGROUND IS NOT LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE, LINEARIZE THE BACKGROUND (E.G., USE PROGRAM LINEAR).
- (2) IF THE BACKGROUND IS NOT GIVEN AT 0 KELVIN, DOPPLER BROADEN THE RESONANCE (NOT BACKGROUND) CONTRIBUTION TO THE SAME TEMPERATURE AS THE BACKGROUND (E.G., USE PROGRAM SIGMA1).

ONCE THE RESONANCE AND BACKGROUND CONTRIBUTIONS HAVE BEEN MADE COMPATIBLE THEY CAN BE ADDED TOGETHER (E.G., USE PROGRAM MIXER).

THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO THE CROSS SECTION CAN BE QUITE EXPENSIVE (IN TERMS OF COMPUTER TIME). SINCE THE RECONSTRUCTION IS PERFORMED BEFORE THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS ARE READ, THE ABOVE CONVENTIONS HAVE BEEN ADOPTED IN ORDER TO AVOID LOSE OF COMPUTER TIME INVOLVED IN RECONSTRUCTING THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION.

COMMON ENERGY GRID

THIS PROGRAM WILL RECONSTRUCT THE RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION TO THE TOTAL, ELASTIC, FISSION AND CAPTURE CROSS SECTIONS ALL ON THE SAME ENERGY GRID. EACH REACTION WILL THEN BE COMBINED WITH ITS BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION (IF ANY) AND OUTPUT WITHOUT ANY FURTHER THINNING. IF THERE ARE NO BACKGROUND CROSS SECTIONS, OR IF THE BACKGROUND CROSS SECTION FOR ALL FOUR REACTIONS ARE GIVEN ON A COMMON ENERGY GRID, THE OUTPUT FROM THIS PROGRAM WILL BE ON A COMMON ENERGY GRID FOR ALL FOUR REACTIONS.

THERMAL ENERGY

Recent

IF THE RESONANCE REGION SPANS THERMAL ENERGY (0.0253 EV) THIS POINT IS ALWAYS INCLUDED IN THE COMMON ENERGY GRID USED FOR ALL REACTIONS AND WILL ALWAYS APPEAR IN THE OUTPUT DATA.	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
SECTION SIZE	Recent
-----	Recent
SINCE THIS PROGRAM USES A LOGICAL PAGING SYSTEM THERE IS NO LIMIT TO THE NUMBER OF POINTS IN ANY SECTION, E.G., THE TOTAL CROSS SECTION MAY BE REPRESENTED BY 200,000 DATA POINTS.	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
SELECTION OF DATA	Recent
-----	Recent
THE PROGRAM SELECTS MATERIALS TO BE PROCESSED BASED EITHER ON MAT (ENDF/B MAT NO.) OR ZA. THE PROGRAM ALLOWS UP TO 100 MAT OR ZA RANGES TO BE SPECIFIED. THE PROGRAM WILL ASSUME THAT THE ENDF/B TAPE IS IN EITHER MAT OR ZA ORDER, WHICHEVER CRITERIA IS USED TO SELECT MATERIALS, AND WILL TERMINATE WHEN A MAT OR ZA IS FOUND THAT IS ABOVE THE RANGE OF ALL REQUESTS.	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
ALLOWABLE ERROR	Recent
-----	Recent
THE RECONSTRUCTION OF LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE CROSS SECTIONS FROM RESONANCE PARAMETERS CANNOT BE PERFORMED EXACTLY. HOWEVER IT CAN BE PERFORMED TO VIRTUALLY ANY REQUIRED ACCURACY AND MOST IMPORTANTLY CAN BE PERFORMED TO A TOLERANCE THAT IS SMALL COMPARED TO THE UNCERTAINTY IN THE CROSS SECTIONS THEMSELVES. AS SUCH THE CONVERSION OF CROSS SECTIONS TO LINEARLY INTERPOLABLE FORM CAN BE PERFORMED WITH ESSENTIALLY NO LOSS OF INFORMATION.	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
THE ALLOWABLE ERROR MAY BE ENERGY INDEPENDENT (CONSTANT) OR ENERGY DEPENDENT. THE ALLOWABLE ERROR IS DESCRIBED BY A TABULATED FUNCTION OF UP TO 20 (ENERGY,ERROR) PAIRS AND LINEAR INTERPOLATION BETWEEN TABULATED POINTS. IF ONLY ONE TABULATED POINT IS GIVEN THE ERROR WILL BE CONSIDERED CONSTANT OVER THE ENTIRE ENERGY RANGE. WITH THIS ENERGY DEPENDENT ERROR ONE MAY OPTIMIZE THE OUTPUT FOR ANY GIVEN APPLICATION BY USING A SMALL ERROR IN THE ENERGY RANGE OF INTEREST AND A LESS STRINGENT ERROR IN OTHER ENERGY RANGES, E.G., 0.1 PER-CENT FROM 0 UP TO THE LOW EV RANGE AND A LESS STRINGENT TOLERANCE AT HIGHER ENERGIES.	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
DEFAULT ALLOWABLE ERROR	Recent
-----	Recent
IN ORDER TO INSURE CONVERGENCE OF THE RESONANCE RECONSTRUCTION THE ALLOWABLE ERROR MUST BE POSITIVE. IF THE USER INPUTS AN ERROR FOR RESONANCE RECONSTRUCTION THAT IS NOT POSITIVE IT WILL BE SET TO THE DEFAULT VALUE (CURRENTLY 0.1 PER-CENT) AND INDICATED AS SUCH IN THE OUTPUT LISTING.	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
INTERVAL HALVING ALGORITHM	Recent
-----	Recent
THIS PROGRAM WILL START BY CALCULATING THE CROSS SECTIONS AT THE ENERGIES CORRESPONDING TO THE PEAK OF EACH RESONANCE, AS WELL AS A FIXED NUMBER OF HALF-WIDTHS ON EACH SIDE OF EACH RESONANCE. STARTING FROM THIS BASIC GRID OF POINTS THE PROGRAM WILL CONTINUE TO HALF EACH INTERVAL UNTIL THE CROSS SECTIONS FOR ALL REACTIONS AT THE CENTER OF THE INTERVAL CAN BE DEFINED BY LINEAR INTERPOLATION FROM THE ENDS OF THE INTERVAL TO WITHIN THE USER SPECIFIED ACCURACY CRITERIA.	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
DISTANT RESONANCE TREATMENT	Recent
-----	Recent
THE OPTION TO TREAT DISTANT RESONANCES, WHICH WAS AVAILABLE IN EARLIER VERSIONS OF THIS PROGRAM, IS NO LONGER AVAILABLE, BECAUSE IT WAS FOUND TO PRODUCE UNRELIABLE RESULTS. IN THIS VERSION OF THE PROGRAM ALL RESONANCES ARE TREATED EXACTLY.	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
	Recent
PROGRAM OPERATION	Recent
=====	Recent
EDIT MODE	Recent
-----	Recent
IT IS SUGGESTED THAT BEFORE RUNNING THIS PROGRAM TO RECONSTRUCT	Recent

11	FINAL ENDF/B DATA (BCD - 80 CHARACTERS/RECORD)		Recent
	SCRATCH FILES		Recent
	-----		Recent
	UNIT DESCRIPTION		Recent
	-----		Recent
12	SCRATCH FILE FOR DATA RECONSTRUCTED FROM RESONANCE PARAMETERS (BINARY - 100200 WORDS/RECORD)		Recent
14	SCRATCH FILE FOR COMBINED FILE 2 AND 3 DATA (BINARY - 40080 WORDS/RECORD)		Recent
	OPTIONAL STANDARD FILE NAMES (SEE SUBROUTINE FILEIO)		Recent
	=====		Recent
	UNIT FILE NAME		Recent
	-----		Recent
2	RECENT.INP		Recent
3	RECENT.LST		Recent
10	ENDFB.IN		Recent
11	ENDFB.OUT		Recent
12	(SCRATCH)		Recent
14	(SCRATCH)		Recent
	INPUT CARDS		Recent
	=====		Recent
	LINE COLS. FORMAT DESCRIPTION		Recent
	-----		Recent
1	1-11 I11		RETRIEVAL CRITERIA (0=MAT, 1=ZA)
			THIS OPTION DEFINED WHETHER COLUMNS 1-22 OF
			SUBSEQUENT INPUT CARDS SHOULD BE INTERPRETED
			TO BE MAT OR ZA RANGES.
	12-22 E11.4		FILE 2 MINIMUM ABSOLUTE CROSS SECTION
			(IF 1.0E-10 OR LESS IS INPUT THE PROGRAM
			WILL USE 1.0E-10)
	23-33 I11		TREATMENT OF REACTIONS FOR WHICH BACKGROUND
			CROSS SECTION IS NOT GIVEN.
			= 0 - IGNOR (I.E. NO OUTPUT)
			= 1 - OUTPUT RESONANCE CONTRIBUTION.
			THIS OPTION IS USEFUL WITH PARTIAL EVALUATION
			(E.G. ENDF/B-V DOSIMETRY LIBRARY) WHERE ONLY
			ONE OR MORE OF THE REACTIONS ARE OF ACTUAL
			INTEREST.
			WARNING...THE USE OF THIS FIELD HAS BEEN
			CHANGED. THIS FIELD WAS PREVIOUSLY USED TO
			DEFINE THE PRECISION OF THE CALCULATION AND
			OUTPUT. THE FORMER DEFINITION OF THIS FIELD
			WAS...
			MINIMUM ENERGY SPACING FLAG
			= 0 - 6 DIGIT MINIMUM ENERGY SPACING.
			STANDARD 6 DIGIT E11.4 OUTPUT.
			= 1 - 9 DIGIT MINIMUM ENERGY SPACING.
			STANDARD 6 DIGIT E11.4 OUTPUT.
			= 2 - 9 DIGIT MINIMUM ENERGY SPACING.
			VARIABLE 9 DIGIT F FORMAT OUTPUT.
			FROM EXPERIENCE IT HAS BEEN FOUND THAT
			FAILURE TO SET THIS OPTION TO 2 CAN RESULT
			IN LARGE ERRORS IN THE FINAL DATA. THEREFORE
			INTERNALLY THIS OPTION IS SET TO 2.
	34-44 I11		OPERATING MODE
			= 0 - CALCULATE. MINIMUM OUTPUT LISTING
			= 1 - CALCULATE. LIST ALL RESONANCE PARAMETERS
			= 2 - EDIT MODE. NO CALCULATION. LIST ALL
			RESONANCE PARAMETERS.
			NOTE, THE EDIT MODE (=2) IS THE SUGGESTED
			MODE TO FIRST TEST THE CONSISTENCY OF THE
			EVALUATED DATA, BEFORE RECONSTRUCTING CROSS
			SECTIONS (SEE, COMMENTS ABOVE).
	45-55 I11		NEGATIVE CROSS SECTION TREATMENT
			= 0 - O.K. - NO CHANGE
			= 1 - SET = 0
	56-66 I11		MONITOR MODE SELECTOR
			= 0 - NORMAL OPERATION
			Recent

```

= 1 - MONITOR PROGRESS OF RECONSTRUCTION OF FILE 2 DATA AND COMBINING FILE 2 AND FILE 3 DATA. EACH TIME A PAGE OF DATA POINTS IS WRITTEN TO A SCRATCH FILE PRINT OUT THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS ON SCRATCH AND THE LOWER AND UPPER ENERGY LIMITS OF THE PAGE (THIS OPTION MAY BE USED IN ORDER TO MONITOR THE EXECUTION SPEED OF LONG RUNNING JOBS).
2 1-72 A72 ENDF/B INPUT DATA FILENAME (STANDARD OPTION = ENDFB.IN)
3 1-72 A72 ENDF/B OUTPUT DATA FILENAME (STANDARD OPTION = ENDFB.OUT)
4-N 1-11 I11 MINIMUM MAT OR ZA (SEE COLS. 1-11, LINE 1)
12-22 I11 MAXIMUM MAT OR ZA (SEE COLS. 1-11, LINE 1) UP TO 100 MAT OR ZA RANGES MAY BE SPECIFIED, ONE RANGE PER LINE. THE LIST IS TERMINATED BY A BLANK LINE. IF THE THE UPPER LIMIT OF ANY REQUEST IS LESS THAN THE LOWER LIMIT THE UPPER LIMIT WILL BE SET EQUAL TO THE LOWER LIMIT. IF THE FIRST REQUEST LINE IS BLANK IT WILL TERMINATE THE REQUEST LIST AND CAUSE ALL DATA TO BE RETRIEVED (SEE EXAMPLE INPUT).
----- 2016/3/10 - Partial Processing no longer allowed.
If these fields are not blank the code will STOP with a WARNING that this is no longer allowed.
23-33 E11.4 LOWER ENERGY LIMIT FOR PROCESSING.
34-44 E11.4 UPPER ENERGY LIMIT FOR PROCESSING.
*THE LOWER AND UPPER ENERGY LIMITS MUST BE ZERO, OR BLANK, UNLESS YOU WISH TO ONLY PROCESS A PORTION OF RESONANCE REGIONS.
*THESE ENERGY LIMITS ARE ONLY READ FROM THE FIRST MAT/ZA REQUEST LINE
*IF BOTH ARE ZERO (OR BLANK) THE ENTIRE RESONANCE REGION FOR EACH MATERIAL WILL BE PROCESSED
*IF LIMITS ARE INPUT ONLY THAT PORTION OF THE RESONANCE REGION FOR EACH MATERIAL WHICH LIES BETWEEN THESE LIMITS WILL BE PROCESSED
*SEE INSTRUCTIONS ABOVE BEFORE USING THIS OPTION.
----- 2016/3/10 - Partial Processing no longer allowed.
VARY 1-11 E11.4 ENERGY FOR FILE 2 ERROR LAW ( SEE )
12-22 E11.4 ERROR FOR FILE 2 ERROR LAW (COMMENTS)
( BELOW )
NOTE, THIS VERSION OF THE PROGRAM DOES NOT THIN THE COMBINED FILE FILE 2 + 3 DATA. AS SUCH THE ERROR LAW FOR COMBINING FILE 2 + 3 WHICH WAS REQUIRED IN EARLIER VERSIONS OF THIS CODE ARE NO LONGER REQUIRED.
THE FILE 2 ERROR LAW MAY BE ENERGY INDEPENDENT (DEFINED BY A SINGLE ERROR) OR ENERGY DEPENDENT (DEFINED BY UP TO 20 ENERGY, ERROR PAIRS). FOR THE ENERGY DEPENDENT CASE LINEAR INTERPOLATION WILL BE USED TO DEFINE THE ERROR AT ENERGIES BETWEEN THOSE AT WHICH THE ERROR IS TABULATED. THE ERROR LAW IS TERMINATED BY A BLANK LINE. IF ONLY ONE ENERGY, ERROR PAIR IS GIVEN THE LAW WILL BE CONSIDERED TO BE ENERGY INDEPENDENT. IF MORE THAN ONE PAIR IS GIVEN IT BE CONSIDERED TO BE ENERGY DEPENDENT (NOTE, THAT FOR A CONSTANT ERROR THE ENERGY INDEPENDENT FORM WILL RUN FASTER. HOWEVER, FOR SPECIFIC APPLICATIONS AN ENERGY DEPENDENT ERROR MAY BY USED TO MAKE THE PROGRAM RUN CONSIDERABLE FASTER).
ALL ENERGIES MUST BE IN ASCENDING ENERGY ORDER. FOR CONVERGENCE OF THE FILE 2 RECONSTRUCTION ALGORITHM ALL THE ERRORS MUST BE POSITIVE. IF ERROR IS NOT POSITIVE IT WILL BE SET EQUAL TO THE STANDARD OPTION (CURRENTLY 0.001, CORRESPONDING TO 0.1 PER-CENT). IF THE FIRST LINE OF THE ERROR LAW IS BLANK IT WILL TERMINATE THE ERROR LAW AND THE ERROR WILL BE TREATED AS ENERGY INDEPENDENT, EQUAL TO THE STANDARD OPTION (CURRENTLY, 0.1 PER-CENT). SEE, EXAMPLE INPUT 4.

```

EXAMPLE INPUT NO. 1		Recent
-----		Recent
CONSIDER ALL URANIUM ISOTOPES AND TH-232. CONSIDER CROSS SECTIONS		Recent
WHICH ARE LARGER THAN 1.0E-8 BARNS IN ABSOLUTE VALUE. ONLY OUTPUT		Recent
REACTIONS FOR WHICH A BACKGROUND IS GIVEN. LIST ALL PARAMETERS AND		Recent
CALCULATE CROSS SECTIONS. MONITOR THE EXECUTION PROGRESS OF THE		Recent
PROGRAM. BETWEEN 0 AND 100 EV USE 0.1 PER-CENT ACCURACY. BETWEEN		Recent
100 EV AND 1 KEV VARY THE ACCURACY FROM 0.1 TO 1 PER-CENT. ABOVE		Recent
1 KEV USE 1 PER-CENT ACCURACY.		Recent
		Recent
EXPLICITLY SPECIFY THE STANDARD FILENAMES.		Recent
		Recent
THE FOLLOWING 11 INPUT CARDS ARE REQUIRED.		Recent
		Recent
1 1.00000-08 0 1 0 1		Recent
ENDFB.IN		Recent
ENDFB.OUT		Recent
92000 92999		Recent
90232 (UPPER LIMIT AUTOMATICALLY SET TO 90232)		Recent
(END REQUEST LIST)		Recent
0.00000+ 0 1.00000-03		Recent
1.00000+02 1.00000-03		Recent
1.00000+03 1.00000-02		Recent
1.00000+09 1.00000-02		Recent
(END FILE 2 ERROR LAW)		Recent
		Recent
EXAMPLE INPUT NO. 2		Recent
-----		Recent
CONSIDER ALL URANIUM ISOTOPES AND TH-232. CONSIDER CROSS SECTIONS		Recent
WHICH ARE LARGER THAN 1.0E-8 BARNS IN ABSOLUTE VALUE. ONLY OUTPUT		Recent
REACTIONS FOR WHICH A BACKGROUND IS GIVEN. CROSS SECTIONS WILL BE		Recent
CALCULATED, BUT PARAMETERS WILL NOT BE LISTED. THE PROGRESS OF THE		Recent
PROGRAM WILL NOT BE MONITORED. USE 0.1 PER-CENT ACCURACY FOR ALL		Recent
ENERGIES. SINCE 0.1 PER-CENT IS THE STANDARD OPTION FOR THE ERROR		Recent
LAW THE FIRST ERROR LAW LINE MAY BE LEFT BLANK.		Recent
		Recent
LEAVE THE DEFINITION OF THE FILENAMES BLANK - THE PROGRAM WILL		Recent
THEN USE THE STANDARD FILENAMES.		Recent
		Recent
THE FOLLOWING 7 INPUT CARDS ARE REQUIRED.		Recent
		Recent
1 1.00000-08 0 0 0 0		Recent
		Recent
92000 92999		Recent
90232 (UPPER LIMIT AUTOMATICALLY SET TO 90232)		Recent
(END REQUEST LIST)		Recent
(USE STANDARD OPTION FOR ERROR LAW)		Recent
		Recent
EXAMPLE INPUT NO. 3		Recent
-----		Recent
THE SAME AS EXAMPLE INPUT NO. 2, ONLY IN THIS CASE ONLY CALCULATE		Recent
CROSS SECTIONS OVER THE ENERGY RANGE 0.01 TO 0.1 EV - ACROSS THE		Recent
THERMAL ENERGY RANGE. NOTE, THE ONLY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE INPUT		Recent
PARAMETERS IN THIS CASE AND IN EXAMPLE NO. 2, IS THAT ON THE		Recent
SECOND INPUT LINE WE HAVE ADDED THE ENERGY RANGE 0.01 TO 0.1 EV.		Recent
USE \PREPRO94\LINEAR\ENDFB.OUT AS INPUT AND ENDFB.OUT AS OUTPUT -		Recent
SINCE ENDFB.OUT IS THE STANDARD OUTPUT FILENAME THE NAME CAN BE		Recent
EITHER INCLUDED IN THE INPUT OR LEFT BLANK.		Recent
		Recent
THE FOLLOWING 7 INPUT CARDS ARE REQUIRED.		Recent
		Recent
1 1.00000-08 0 0 0 0		Recent
\PREPRO94\LINEAR\ENDFB.OUT		Recent
ENDFB.OUT		Recent
92000 92999 1.00000- 2 1.00000- 1		Recent
90232 (UPPER LIMIT AUTOMATICALLY SET TO 90232)		Recent
(END REQUEST LIST)		Recent
(USE STANDARD OPTION FOR ERROR LAW)		Recent
		Recent

